#### SR BG simulation status (E deposit to the beam pipe)

2009/03/18 M.Iwasaki (Univ. of Tokyo)

# **Upstream SR simulation studies**

SR power is much higher than current KEKB, then we start from SR BG estimation

1. Design the IP beam-pipe to avoid HER SR direct hits to the detector

2. Study of the energy deposit to the IP beam-pipe

For the SR BG study, we construct the beam line simulation based on GEANT4.

Simple beam pipe + 1<sup>st</sup> layer SVD + B-field of Q-magnets

# Beam pipe design S.Uno



# Conclusion @ MAC Feb.10, 2009

Based on the GEANT4 simulation, SR BG has been studied

#### 1. Upstream SR

#### - Design of the IP beam-pipe to avoid SR from HER

To avoid the SR direct hit, we should Locate the beam pipe parallel to HER (22mrad from Belle solenoid), and Put a 4mm height SR mask

Study of the energy deposit to the IP beam-pipe
 The energy deposit from HER SR will be ~1kW (SR mask) ~1kW (taper)
 1kW deposit to the 4mm mask makes ~500 degree temperature rise
 → It is very hard to cool the beam pipe...

#### 2. Backscattered SR

We need more MC statistics to study in detail.

We try to minimize the BG effect in our beam-pipe design, but SR power is so high that we cannot cool the beam-pipe

<u>New super-KEKB machine parameters with lower SR power</u> <u>are highly appreciated</u>

### **HER simulation**



#### **Energy deposit from upstream SR**



# **Energy deposit from SR**

 $2\sigma$  beam

HER SR Mask 0.73kW HER taper 0.69kW LER SR Mask 20W LER taper 75W IP beam-pipe 15W

We have ~1kW Energy deposit at 4mm height SR mask...

# New super-KEKB optics(1012a)

New super-KEKB optics has just been delivered

- Beam size at the Q-magnet

QC1L / QC2L :  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the current one

- B-field of the Q-magnet

QC1L : x1.6 of the current one

- QC2L : same
- Same magnet length

In total, SR power is reduced to 80% (QC1L) or 25% (QC2L) of the current one

We'll re-estimate the SR BG based on the new optics

#### Beam size @ IR Q-magnets

HFR	OC2R	OC1R	OCSL	OC1L	OC2L							
OLD optics	74.5mm (5σ <sub>x</sub> )	30.3	4.4	11.0	31.1	75.2						
New optics	69.0 (5σ <sub>x</sub> )	30.1	6.3	14.4	16.5	35.4						
	LER Beam		1									
LER	QC2R	QC1R	QCSR	QCSL	QC1L	QC2L						
OLD	63.9 (5σ <sub>x</sub> )	52.2	15.1	2.9	29.0	52.1mm						
New	66.3 (5σ <sub>x</sub> )		10.7	2.9		34.4						





### HER upstream SR energy 50 beam

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### SR hit to the IP beam pipe

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Energy deposit from upstream SR**

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Energy deposit from SR**

New optics (1012a) Gaussian beam  $5\sigma$  tail cut

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

- We still have 100W Energy deposit at SR mask.

 $\rightarrow$  See heating calculation by Yamaoka-san

#### OLD optics (sqrt $2\sigma$ beam)

HER: SR Mask 0.73kW HER taper 0.69kW LER : SR Mask 20W LER taper 75W IP beam-pipe 15W

# Summary

1. Simulation studies for the Super-KEKB high-current option

- There expected huge energy deposit of ~1kW
   from HER SR in the old version Super-KEKB design
- With the current new optics, it is decreased to ~100W (which is x10 of the current KEKB)
- Detailed heating calculation / simulation is needed to design the cooling system (see Yamaoka-san's talk)
- We need to start the other BG source studies
- We will start the Super-KEKB nano-beam option studies
   Lower SR BG and HOM power are expected
   → Is it possible to use the smaller radius beam-pipe?

## **Back up**

#### **Beam line simulation setup**

- Aperture of the Q-magnets ~  $5\sigma$  (=  $5\sqrt{\epsilon\beta}$ )
- Beam size  $5\sigma$  (max =  $5\sigma$ ) or  $2\sigma$  (max =  $2\sigma$ )
- Beam shape : sqrt(x) shape

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

- The number of particles in a bunch HER : 4.1A / (1.6\*10^-19)/(100kHz)/5000 = 0.5 \*10<sup>11</sup> LER : 9.4A / (1.6\*10^-19)/(100kHz)/5000 = 1.2 \*10<sup>11</sup>

#### Relationship between s-Belle and Super-KEKB

In Super-KEKB, crossing angle will be increased : 22mrad  $\rightarrow$  30mrad

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Belle beam pipe (and SVD??) axis at Super-KEKB

- Belle solenoid
- Center of the LER and HER (7mrad from Belle solenoid)
- HER axis (22mrad from Belle solenoid)

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

## LER beam-line simulation

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **LER simulation**

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Upstream SR energy**

#### SR energy (at IP)

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

X Contraction of the second seco

The SR energy from HER is very high ( <  $\sim$ 100keV)  $\rightarrow$  We don't want the direct hits from HER SR at first

# **Energy deposit from HER SR**

Why do we have so high energy deposit?

1. Increase the beam current

effect : x3

- 2. Change beam optics (QC2L)
  - x3 Beam size at the Q-magnet  $\uparrow$
  - x7 B-field of the Q-magnet  $\uparrow$
  - Same magnet length
  - No-bending component  $\downarrow$

Critical Energy @ QC2L : 2keV for  $10\sigma$  beam (KEKB)

56keV for  $10\sigma$  beam (super-KEKB) effect : x28

 $\rightarrow$  We have 3x28 ~ 100 times higher E deposit at super-KEKB

Current super-KEKB beam optics produces huge power SR

#### **Total SR power produced at Q-magnet**

- To check our simulation results,

we compare the total SR power at the QC2 magnet

- 1. GEANT4 simulation
  - (For 2<sub>σ</sub> beam :corresponds to nominal Gaussian beam core) Total power = 3.3kW
- 2. Hand calculation (by Y.Funakoshi-san)

Total power = 2.9kW

- We also check that SR power produced at QC2 in our current KEKB is about 1/100 of super-KEKB, in GEANT4 simulation

# Heat at the synchrotron light mask

T.Tsuboyama (KEK)

- The heat differential equation is solved by a 3D discrete finite difference method.
- The following model was made and calculated.
- The bottom surfaces are connected to a heat sink (0 °C)
- The other surfaces are heat insulated.
- Calculation was done with equal mesh size: 1 mm in x,y,z direction.

![](_page_25_Figure_7.jpeg)

Material : copper is assumed (because of its good thermal conductivity)

# Heat at the synchrotron light mask

T.Tsuboyama (KEK)

- •The temperature distribution Δt for the center slice after equilibration (in one second) is shown below.
- •The temperature goes up to  $\Delta t = \sim 450$  degree.
- The heat dissipation to the mask should be of order 100W.
  Next step: reliable calculation by ANSYS or a similar tool.

SR (1kW) Mask																								
										424 344	275 225	196 164	154 131	135 114										
	4	8	12	17	24	33	46	66	100	167	150	124	102	83	57	41	30	23	17	12	9	5	3	
	4	7	11	16	23	31	41	56	77	100	100	90	78	64	49	37	28	21	16	12	8	5	3	
	3	7	10	15	20	27	35	45	57	68	70	66	59	50	41	32	25	19	15	11	8	5	2	
	3	6	9	13	17	23	29	36	43	48	50	48	44	39	32	27	21	17	13	10	7	4	2	
	2	5	8	11	14	18	23	27	32	35	36	35	33	29	25	21	17	14	11	8	6	4	2	
	2	4	6	9	11	14	17	20	23	25	26	26	24	22	19	16	14	11	9	7	5	3	2	
	1	3	5	6	8	10	12	14	16	17	18	18	17	15	14	12	10	8	6	5	4	2	1	
	1	2	3	4	5	7	8	9	10	11	11	11	11	10	9	8	7	5	4	3	2	2	1	

# Change beam shape in MC

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Energy deposit from SR**

New optics (1012a) Gaussian beam

HER

SR Mask82WHER taper 60W $(5\sigma tail cut)$ 46W10W $(2\sigma tail cut)$ 

E deposit to the SR mask  $\rightarrow$  SR from beam core Her taper  $\rightarrow$  SR from beam tail part

#### E deposit to the Beam pipe

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### E deposit to the SR mask

SR maskへのE deposit (内側表面から、SR光が当たる。)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)